

Heritage listing of a local item, 18 Wyatt Avenue, Burwood Proposal Title : Heritage listing of a local item, 18 Wyatt Avenue, Burwood To amend Schedule 5 of the Burwood Local Environmental Plan (BLEP 2012) to incorporate Proposal Summary : the listing of 18 Wyatt Avenue Burwood as a local heritage item. **PP Number**: PP_2014_BURWO_002_00 Dop File No : 14/15888 **Proposal Details** LGA covered : Burwood Date Planning 16-Sep-2014 Proposal Received : RPA: **Burwood Council** Region : Metro(CBD) Section of the Act : State Electorate : STRATHFIELD 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Policy **Location Details** Street : **18 Wyatt Avenue** Suburb : Postcode : 2134 City : Sydney Burwood Land Parcel : Lot 23 DP3920 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Casey Farrell** Contact Number : 0285754132 Contact Email : casey.farrell@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : Diwei Luo Contact Number : 0299112288 Contact Email : diwei.luo@burwood.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : **Diane Sarkies** Contact Number : 0285754111 Contact Email : diane.sarkies@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : Regional / Sub Consistent with Strategy : **Regional Strategy:**

MDP Number :		Date of Release :			
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :			
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0		
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0		
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes				
If No, comment :	The Department of Planning and Metropolitan Delivery (CBD) has relation to this planning proposa	not met with or communicated	-		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No				
If Yes, comment :					
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes :	This planning proposal seeks to amend Schedule 5 of the Burwood Local Environmental Plan 2012 (BLEP 2012) to adopt 18 Wyatt Avenue, Burwood, as a local heritage item. Listing local heritage items under Schedule 5 also activates Clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation of the BLEP 2012, triggering additional assessment requirements and considerations for development applications.				
	The site is currently the subject of an Interim Heritage Order, in force until 30 May 2015. At the 25 August 2014 Burwood Council meeting, Council resolved to endorse heritage listing for the site and the preparation of a planning proposal to this effect.				
	The real property description is Let 23 DP 3920.				
	The subject site includes one lar (constructed circa 1908), swimm setback on the site is typical of F	ing pool, tennis courts and lar			
	A preliminary Heritage Assessme and prepared by Perumal Murphy property to have 'high' heritage s	y Alessi, has identified the foll significance:	owing features found at the		
	 the overall form of the front, ori two-storey scale and roofscapil open 'wrap around' front verand access steps, timber elements; bay on the eastern side and sep 	ng, including front and easterr dah and balcony and associat	n gable and two chimneys;		
	 roughcast render and external in pattern of openings on the from doors; internal layout of the front main 	t main section and original tim			
	 high ceilings and spatial qualiti chimney breasts and internal ar internal original finishes. 	es;	ces; and,		
	The heritage assessment report a characterised by wide verges. We two-storey detached dwellings, b	thin the local area, there are a	number of one- and		
2					

	In 1985, Burwood Council approved a development application for works at the site, including an alteration and addition to the original structure, construction of a swimming pool and tennis courts. The Heritage Assessment Report assumes changes to the site's landscaping from original are associated with 1985 works.			
	Directly to the east of the site are two local heritage items already listing under Schedule 5 – 14 and 16 Wyatt Avenue – and another two local heritage items are located to the west of the site (24 and 26-32 Wyatt Avenue). These properties are listed under Schedule 5. The site is bounded by large heritage conservation sites to the east, west and south (Tag F).			
External Supporting Notes :	This planning proposal seeks to amend Schedule 5 of the Burwood Local Environmental Plan 2012 (BLEP 2012) to include 18 Wyatt Avenue, Burwood as a local heritage item. The Heritage Map, HER_001, would also be amended to reflect 18 Wyatt Avenue as a local item. By listing the site as a local heritage item, Heritage Conservation provisions under clause 5.10 of the BLEP 2012 will apply.			
	The property is currently the subject of an Interim Heritage Order and this Order is set to expire in May 2015. Located on the site is a two-storey Federation style dwelling, constructed circa 1908. Some alterations have been made to the site since original construction, including an alteration and addition, the construction of a swimming pool and tennis courts. The property is set back from the front which is typical for Federation era buildings.			
en differell" a	A preliminary Heritage Assessment Report, commissioned by Burwood Council, has been prepared. The report found the site had local heritage and cultural significance.			
lequacy Assessme	int			
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the	objectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to facilitate the heritage listing of 18 Wyatt Avenue, Burwood under Schedule 5 of the BLEP 2012. The property is subject to an Interim Heritage Order.			
	The statement of objectives is adequate.			
Explanation of pro	visions provided - s55(2)(b)			
Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes				
Comment :	The planning proposal seeks to list 18 Wyatt Avenue Burwood as a local heritage item under Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the BLEP 2012.			
	By listing this site as a local heritage item, Clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation provisions			

By listing this site as a local heritage item, Clause 5.10 Heritage Conservation provisions apply to the site. The proposal will also amend the applicable Heritage Map (HER_001), to identify the site as a local heritage item.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director Ge	eneral? No
---	------------

b)	S.117	directions	identified	by	RPA:
----	-------	------------	------------	----	------

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? N/A

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

SEPPs

There are no SEPPs applicable to this planning proposal.

Section 117 Directions

The following section 117 Directions have been identified in the planning proposal.

2.3 Heritage Conservation

The objective of this direction is to conserve items, areas, objects and places which have heritage significance. The Direction requires a planning proposal to include conservation provisions for items that have historical, scientific, cultural, social, archaeological, aesthetic, architectural or natural significance.

Council contends the planning proposal seeks to conserve an item of local heritage significance by listing the site under Schedule 5. The Heritage Assessment Report indicates the main property on the site is of local historic and aesthetic significance.

The planning proposal is consistent with this direction. Heritage conservation provisions under BLEP 2012 will automatically apply if the site is listed as a local heritage item, thus protecting an item of local historic and aesthetic significance.

3.1 Residential Zones

This direction encourages a wide variety of housing choice and types and the efficient use of infrastructure and services. The Direction is triggered when a planning proposal affects land within an existing or proposed residential zone.

The site is zoned R3 Medium Density Residential. The planning proposal does not seek to alter the R3 zoning or permissible uses within the zoning. However, if the site is listed as a local heritage item, provisions applicable to the site will be altered. That is, heritage conservation provisions in Clause 5.10 of the BLEP 2012 will apply to the site.

This alteration is considered to be of minor significance and does not affect the application of the R3 zone, and the inconsistency is therefore justified.

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

This direction aims to improve non-car based access to housing, jobs and services, reduce travel demand and supports public transport and efficient freight movement. The direction applies when a council prepares a draft LEP that creates, alters or removes a zone or provision relating to urban land.

The planning proposal does not create, alter or remove a zoning applicable to the subject site, but it does alter a provision applying to the site. The subject site, if listed under Schedule 5, will be subject to heritage protection and heritage provisions contained under 5.10 of the BLEP 2012. The alteration only applies to protections to the site and is not considered major. The planning proposal only seeks to list the subject site as a local heritage item and does not propose any further development on the site.

The planning proposal is, therefore, not inconsistent with this direction.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

The direction aims to avoid adverse environmental impacts from the use of land that contains acid sulfate soil.

The site has been identified as Class 5 Acid Sulfate soil.

The planning proposal is not inconsistent with this direction as the proposal does not intensify use on the site. The proposal only seeks to list the site as a heritage item. The proposal is consistent with this direction.

6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

The direction seeks to improve the efficiency of development assessment by streamlining concurrence, consultation and referral requirements.

Listing the site as a heritage item will not introduce any new concurrence, consultation or referral requirements nor has the planning proposal identified any use as designated development. However, should development on the site be proposed in the future, additional requirements and considerations will have to be met, subject to clause 5.10.

Concurrence provisions already exist under clause 5.10 for works to archaeological sites and for demolition works to listed heritage items. These provisions already exist in the BLEP 2012 and would automatically apply if the site was to be listed in Schedule 5. No new provisions are being created by this proposal.

The planning proposal is consistent with this direction.

The Office of Environment and Heritage have been provided with a copy of the planning proposal for comment. No comment has been received to date.

7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 This direction gives legal effect to the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 and planning proposals are required to detail how the objectives and actions of the plan are to be met.

Council notes the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 addresses heritage in general terms. Council states the planning proposal is consistent with the general intent of the Plan.

The Metropolitan Plan has two actions that address heritage conservation and preservation:

• Action B3.3 Provide for the protection and adaptive reuse of heritage items in centres undergoing urban renewal; and,

• Action 4.1 Identify heritage landscapes in Sydney and develop appropriate responses to plan for their protection and interpretation of the Subregional Strategies and LEPs.

Action B3.3 is not applicable as the subject site is not within an urban renewal area. The planning proposal supports Action 4.1 as it seeks to protect a local heritage item through an amendment to a LEP and the proposal takes into consideration the heritage streetscape.

The planning proposal is consistent with this direction, as it does not conflict with the implementation of the Metropolitan Plan.

Draft Inner West Subregional Strategy

Under the draft Inner West Subregional Strategy, Action E6.2 seeks to 'recognise where Sydney's cultural heritage contributes to its character and manage change appropriately to reinforce local distinctiveness'. The planning proposal is consistent with this action, as the proposal seeks to preserve a local heritage item and, by doing so, protects the streetscape in a heritage-dense area.

Draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney to 2031

The draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031 includes two actions for the protection of heritage items. The following actions are outlined in the draft Metropolitan Strategy: • Action 7.3: Identify significant heritage precincts to inform future planning • Action 7.4: Work with local councils to facilitate heritage protection schemes

The planning proposal is not inconsistent with these actions. The site is located in close proximity to other listed heritage items and large Heritage Conservation Areas.

The site's location may assist Council with future heritage planning. The proposal supports Action 7.4, as Council are protecting a local heritage item.

NSW Heritage Assessment Criteria

The NSW Heritage Council has developed an evaluation criterion for the assessment

and determination of potential heritage items. The Preliminary Heritage Assessment Report contains an evaluation of the site against the 7 criteria. The assessment's response follows each criteria:

a. An item is important in the course, or pattern, of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area);

The report states the site and building is of local historical significance as it demonstrates the pattern of Federation subdivision and architecture.

b. An item has strong or special association with the life or works of a person, or group of persons, of importance in NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area);

The report states the site (and the local area) is associated with local land speculators (including the Wyatt Brothers) and the site has a link to a former State and Federal politician, EG Theodore.

c. An item is important in demonstrating aesthetic characteristics and/or a high degree of creative or technical achievement in NSW (or the cultural or natural history of the local area);

The building is of aesthetic significance as it is an example of Federation style development. Much of the original architectural design and features have remained intact, despite some alterations in 1985. The report suggests the front, original section of the building contributes to the streetscape on Wyatt Avenue.

d. An item has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group in NSW (or the local area) for social, cultural or spiritual reason;

The report notes the building does not have a particular association with a social or cultural group.

e. An item has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area);

It is unlikely that the building will reveal or contribute archaeological information; although the front original section may assist in understanding building techniques in the Federation era.

f. An item possesses uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of NSW's cultural or natural history (or the cultural or natural history of the local area);

The report contends Federation style buildings are not rare.

g. An item is important in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a class in NSW's:

Cultural or natural places; or

Cultural or natural environs.

The front, original section of the building represents Federation style architecture and development.

BURWOOD 2030 COMMUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN

The Burwood 2030 Community Strategic Plan contains three specific goals for preserving local heritage items:

• 1.5.1 Preserve Burwood's diverse heritage and provide more information on the history of the area;

• 1.5.4 Identify ways to promote heritage and encourage the preservation of Burwood's historic buildings; and,

• 5.4.1 Preserve local heritage through relevant planning strategles.

	The planning proposal is consistent with these actions. The proposal seeks to preserve a building of local heritage significance. The proposal demonstrates particular consistency with Goal 5.4.1, as it seeks to preserve a local heritage item through an amendment to the BLEP 2012.
Have inconsistencies	with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	Yes
Comment :	All maps are adequate. Mapping provided clearly identifies the site and indicates the applicable development controls (including heritage items and conservation areas) under the BLEP 2012.
Community consu	itation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consu	ultation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council are proposing to exhibit the planning proposal for a period of 14 days in accordance with standard exhibition and notification procedures.
	A 14 day notification period has been requested due to the low impact of the proposal.
Additional Director	r General's requirements
Are there any additior	al Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy	of the proposal
Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	The planning proposal submitted by Council is considered adequate.
oposal Assessmer	it
Principal LEP:	
Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	6
Assessment Criter	ia
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal reflects Councils intention to preserve a local heritage item. A planning proposal is required to incorporate the site as a local heritage item and the proposal is supported by Council. The preliminary Heritage Assessment Report also identifies a number of heritage features of the building, which warrants formal protection.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	planning framework	The planning proposal is consistent with NSW strategic planning framework. The strategic planning framework contains a limited number of directions on heritage conservation. However, the planning proposal is not inconsistent with these objectives and actions.				
	metropolitan strateg with Action 6.2 of th of cultural heritage a planning proposal is that the inner west h eclectic mix of hous	Council states the planning proposal is consistent with the draft subregional and metropolitan strategies. In particular, Council demonstrates the proposal is consistent with Action 6.2 of the inner West Subregional Strategy. This action requires identification of cultural heritage assets and the management of local change and Council contends the planning proposal is in line with this action. The draft subregional strategy also recognises that the inner west has experienced significant waves of development, resulting in an eclectic mix of housing types. Council argue the listing of the subject site as a local heritage item will protect and conserve an early form of housing in Burwood.				
	Burwood 2030 Com directions under "A particularly, Strategi	emonstrates consistency with local stra munity Strategic Plan. The proposal is o Sense of Community" which provides f ic Goal 1.5.4 Identify ways to promote h wood's historic buildings.	consistent with the general for heritage protection and			
Environment al social economic impacts :		sal will not adversely affect any critical ogical communities and their habitats.	habitat or threatened species,			
Assessment Proce	55					
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days			
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 month s	Delegation :	RPA			
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environme	nt and Heritage				
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	Νο				
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes				
If no, provide reasons :						
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No					
If Yes, reasons :						
Identify any additional s	studies, if required. :		**			
If Other, provide reasor	ns :					
Identify any internal co	nsultations, if required :					
No internal consultation	on required					
Is the provision and fun	iding of state infrastructu	ure relevant to this plan? No				
If Yes, reasons :						
cuments						
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	ame Is Public			

Tag A - Delegation Chec	klist.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Tag B - Planning Propos		Proposal	Yes
Tag C - Preliminary Heri	-	Study	Yes
Tag D - Satellite Image for 18 Wyatt Avenue		Мар	Yes
Burwood.pdf			
Tag E - Land Zoning Map.pdf		Мар	Yes
Tag F - Heritage Map.pd	f	Мар	Yes
nning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this sta	ge : Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and	Transport	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		8.1
	6.1 Approval and Referral Re	quirements	
	7.1 Implementation of the Me	tropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Additional Information	Recommended to proceed w	ith the following conditions:	
		be publicly exhibited for 14 days;	
	• External consultation with t	he Office of Environment and Heritage to I	be undertaken;
	 The planning proposal is to 	be completed within six months of the Ga	iteway
	Determination.		
Supporting Reasons :		venue, Burwood to be listed as a local heri preserving an item of local significance.	tage item Is
		,	
Signature:	-1- ande	is .	
	10		Y
-			
Printed Name:	\mathbf{T}	Date: 24/10/14	

x = x